West Dunbartonshire Contact Details: Lesley Dewar, e-mail: Lesley.Dewar@west-dunbarton.gov.uk, tel. 01389738557

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

KPO1(a) - Time to issue a building warrant or amendment to warrant from receipt of application

KPO1(b) - Time to issue a first report

KPO1(c) - Time to issue a building or amendment from receipt of satisfactory information

**KPO2 - Compliance during construction** 

**KPO5** - Financial governance

KPO3, 4, 6, 7 - Customer Charter, Customer Survey, eBuilding Standards, Annual Performance Report

Totals of BWs, CCs, Certificates and Notices

Summary of KPOs (publish)

**Targets** 

	KPO1(A) - TIME TAKEN TO IS	SSUE A BUILDING \	WARRANT OR AME	NDMENT TO WARR	RANT
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	Total number that utilised customer agreements	total number of BWs and amendments issued	Average time per BW (Working Days)
	0 - £10,000	47	0		
	£10,001 - £50,000	21	0	2568	122.29
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	3	0		
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	3	1	328	109.33
	£1,000,001 and above	2	0		125.50
	0 - £10,000	4	0		
	£10,001 - £50,000	3	0	100	
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	5	0	327	65.40
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	0	58	58.00
	£1,000,001 and above	2	0	114	57.00
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	76			
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	15	0	733	48.87
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	91	1	7049	77.46
Comments	N/A				
			_		
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	51	0		56.22
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	24	0		
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	8	0		
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	4	1	386	
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	4	0	365	91.25

	KPO1(B) - TIME TAKEN TO I	SCIIE V EIDST DED	OPT (AND BILLI DIN	IC WADDANT OD A	MENDMENT ISSUE	D WITHOUT A FIRS	T PEDODTI				
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Number of first reports issued	No. of first reports issued within 15 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 15 days and within 20 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 20 days and within 35 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 35 days	% within 15 days	% more than 15 days and within 20 days	% more than 20 days and within 35 days	% more than 35 days	% check (should be nearly 100%)
	0 - £10,000	38	34		2	0	89.47%	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%	99.99%
	£10,001 - £50,000	11	10	0	1	0	90.91%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	100.00%
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	7	5	1	0	1	71.43%	14.29%	0.00%	14.29%	100.01%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	2	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	0 - £10,000	6	4	2	0	0	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	6	4	1	1	0	66.67%	16.67%	16.67%	0.00%	100.01%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	1	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	58	51	3	3	1	87.93%	5.17%	5.17%	1.72%	99.99%
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	14	10		1	0	71.43%	21.43%	7.14%	0.00%	100.00%
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	72	61	6	1 4	1	84.72%	8.33%	5.56%	1.39%	100.00%
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes	N/A		01	,			01.72%	0.0070	0.00%	1.00%	100.00%
Provide main reasons why first report targets not met	ICT downloading errors delayed a	application registration									
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10.000	44	38	4	2	0	86.36%	9.09%	4.55%	0.00%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	11	10		1	0	90.91%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	13	9	2	1	1	69.23%	15.38%	7.69%	7.69%	99.99%
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	3	3	0	0		100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
value ballus oub total	~200,001 - 21,000,000	J	0		U	U	100.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	100.0070

	KPO1(C) - TIME TAKEN TO ISSUE A BUILDING WARRANT OR AMENDMENT (FOLLOWING A FIRST OR SUBSEQUENT REPORT) FROM RECEIPT OF SATISFACTORY INFORMATION											
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	No. of BWs and amendments issued within 6 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 6 days and within 10 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 10 days and within 15 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 15 days of receipt of satisfactory information	% within 6 days	% more than 6 days and within 10 days	% more than 10 days and within 15 days	% more than 15 days	% check (should be nearly 100%)	
	0 - £10,000	30	22	4	2	2	73.33%	13.33%	6.67%	6.67%	100.00%	
	£10,001 - £50,000	21	16	5	0	0	76.19%	23.81%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	3	3	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	3	3	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	£1,000,001 and above	2	1	1	0	0	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	0 - £10,000	3	3	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	£10,001 - £50,000	2	2	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	4	3	1	0	0	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	0	1	0	0	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	£1,000,001 and above	2	2	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	59	45	10	2	2	76.27%	16.95%	3.39%	3.39%	100.00%	
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	12	10	2	0	0	83.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	71	55	12	2	2	77.46%	16.90%	2.82%	2.82%	100.00%	
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes	No significant change - ICT down	loading errors delayed	submitted plans reach	ing surveyors								
Provide main reasons why targets not met	N/A											
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	33	25	Λ	2	2	75.76%	12.12%	6.06%	6.06%	100.00%	
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	23		5	0	0	78.26%	21.74%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	7	6	1	0	0	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	4	3	1	0	0	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	4	3	1	0	0	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
value Dalius Sub (Otal	בו,טטט,טטו מווע מטטעפ	4	3		0	U	7 3.00 /6	25.00 /6	0.0078	0.0076	100.0070	

	(PO2 - COMPLIANCE DURING CONSTRUCTION											
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)		Number of CCNPs fully achieved (by relevant person and verifier)	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"	% of CCNPs fully achieved for "accepted" (by relevant person and verifier) completion certificates	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"				
	0 - £10,000	25	11	11	25	44.00%	44.00%	100.00%				
	£10,001 - £50,000	18	12	12	18	66.67%	66.67%	100.00%				
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	7	5	5	7	71.43%	71.43%	100.00%				
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
	£1,000,001 and above	13	8	8	13	61.54%	61.54%	100.00%				
	0 - £10,000	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
	£10,001 - £50,000	4	3	3	4	75.00%	75.00%	100.00%				
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	0	0	1	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%				
	£1,000,001 and above	2	1	1	2	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%				
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	63			63	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	7	4	4	7	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
อนม เปเสเ	NON-DOWESTIC - ALL	1	4	4	,	37.1470	07:1470	100.0070				
					·							
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	70					57.14%	100.00%				
		70			·							
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs	Total	70 fy at key stages.			·							
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved	Total  Failure of relevant person to notif  Verifier not informed of key stage	70 fy at key stages.	40	40	70	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical	Failure of relevant person to noting the variety of variety o	70 fy at key stages. es. quiry at final inspection	40 n (photographs, certific	40 action, etc.) Where it w	70 as not possible to phys	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable	Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable energievant person.	70 fy at key stages. es. quiry at final inspection	40 n (photographs, certific	40 action, etc.) Where it w	70 as not possible to phys	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Total  Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable enrelevant person.  Verifer being asked to inspect pri	fy at key stages.  es.  quiry at final inspection  or to completion of key	n (photographs, certific	tation, etc.) Where it w	70 as not possible to phys	57.14%	57.14%	100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Total  Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable enrelevant person.  Verifer being asked to inspect pri  N/A  0 - £10,000	fy at key stages.  es.  quiry at final inspection  or to completion of key	n (photographs, certific	tation, etc.) Where it we	as not possible to phys	57.14% ically inspect at key sta	57.14% ages due to Covid or no	100.00% but being informed by				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total  Value bands Sub total	Total  Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable enrelevant person.  Verifer being asked to inspect pri  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000	fy at key stages.  es.  quiry at final inspection  or to completion of key	n (photographs, certific	erials or design, poor w	as not possible to phys vorkmanship.	57.14% ically inspect at key sta	57.14% ages due to Covid or no 44.00% 68.18%	100.00%  bit being informed by  100.00%  100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total  Value bands Sub total	Total  Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable enrelevant person.  Verifer being asked to inspect pri  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000  £50,001 - £250,000	fy at key stages.  es.  quiry at final inspection  or to completion of key	to (photographs, certifically stage, change of mate)	erials or design, poor w	as not possible to phys	57.14%  ically inspect at key sta  44.00% 68.18% 71.43%	57.14% ages due to Covid or no 44.00% 68.18% 71.43%	100.00%  be being informed by  100.00%  100.00%  100.00%				
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total	Total  Failure of relevant person to notify  Verifier not informed of key stage  N/A - Achieved by reasonable enrelevant person.  Verifer being asked to inspect pri  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000	fy at key stages.  es.  quiry at final inspection  or to completion of key	to (photographs, certificate) the stage, change of mate that the stage is the stage of the stage is the stage	erials or design, poor w	as not possible to phys vorkmanship.	57.14% ically inspect at key sta	57.14% ages due to Covid or no 44.00% 68.18%	100.00%  bit being informed by  100.00%  100.00%				

KPO5 - MAINTAIN FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE	
% FEE INCOME / VERIFICATION (ALL) COSTS	27.41%

KPO3 - COMMIT TO THE BUILDING STANDARDS CUSTOMER CHARTER	
Customer Charter published on verifier website	Published prominently
Customer Charter web address on verifier website	https://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-standards/building-standards-service-performance/
Customer Charter reviewed since last reporting period	Yes
Number of cases referred to LABSS Dispute Resolution Process	0
Number of cases referred to LA Complaints formal procedure	0
Number of cases referred to SG Verifier Performance Reporting Service for Customers	0
Number of SG Verifier Performance Reporting Service cases responded to by verifier within 5 days	0

KPO4 - UNDERSTAND AND RESPOND TO THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE									
Satisfaction rating from the last National Customer Survey	9.5								
Details of any accredited customer service awards (for example Customer Service Excellence)	N/A								

KPO6 - COMMIT TO BUILDING STANDARDS	
eBS published on verifier website	Published prominently
eBS published weblink	http://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-standards/building-warrant/
Number of applications for building warrant or amendment submitted through SG eBS system	102
Number of completion certificates submitted through SG eBS system	145
Number of other forms submitted through SG eBS system	289
Building warrant or amendment process - plan checking done electronically	Yes
Building warrant or amendment process - building warrant or amendment issued electronically	Yes
Building warrant or amendment process - inspection done electronically	No
Building warrant or amendment process - completion certificate accepted electronically	Yes
Main reasons for significant changes in digital processing	N/A

KPO7 - COMMIT TO OBJECTIVES OUTLINED IN THE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT								
Verifier Performance Report published on verifier website	Published prominently							
Verifier Performance Report published weblink	http://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-							
	standards/building-warrant/							
Verifier Performance Report reviewed since last reporting period	Yes							
Verifier Performance Report includes performance data	Includes all performance data							

West Dunbartonshire Contact Details: Lesley Dewar, e-m	ail: Lesley.Dewar@west-dunbarton.gov.uk, tel. 01389738557	
OVERVIEW TOTALS OF BWs, CCs, CERTIFICATION A	AND ENFORCEMENT	
Building Warrants		
applications	Total no. of all BW applications (including "late" applications)	
applications	"Late" BW applications (as included above)	
applications	"Staged" BW applications (as included above)	
decisions	No. of BW approved	
decisions	No. of BW refused	
amendments - applications	No. of amendment to BW applications	
amendments - applications	Amendments to "staged" BW applications (as included above)	
BW amendments - decisions	No. of amendment to BW applications (as included above)	
BW amendments - decisions	No. of amendment to BW applications approved  No. of amendment to BW applications refused	
Comments	N/A	
Completion Certificates	<u> </u>	
submissions	Total no. of CC submissions (including CCs where no BW was obtained)	
submissions	Total no. of CC submissions where no BW was obtained (as included above)	
decisions	No. of CC accepted	
decisions	No. of BW rejected	
Comments	N/A	
Certification		
Design scheme (building structures)	No. of certificates of design provided	-
Design scheme (energy - domestic)	No. of certificates of design provided	
Design scheme (energy - non-domestic)	No. of certificates of design provided	
Construction scheme (electrical installations)	No. of certificates of design provided  No. of certificates of construction provided	
Construction scheme (drainage, heating and plumbing)	No. of certificates of construction provided	
Construction scheme (drainage, neating and plumbing)	No. of certificates of construction provided	
Comments	N/A	
Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs)		
Domestic	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic	No. of copy certificates received	
Comments	N/A	
Statements of Sustainability		
Domestic - Bronze	No. of copy certificates received	
Domestic - Bronze Active	No. of copy certificates received	
Domestic - Silver	No. of copy certificates received	
Domestic - Silver Active	No. of copy certificates received	
Domestic - Gold	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Bronze	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Bronze Active	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Silver	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Silver Active	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Gold	No. of copy certificates received	
Comments	N/A	
Fire Safety Design Summaries	IN/A	
Non-domestic	No. of summaries received	
Comments	N/A	1
Enforcement	14	
Section 25 - compliance	No of nations control	
•	No. of notices served	
Section 26 - continuing requirement	No. of notices served	
Section 27 - enforcement	No. of notices served	
Section 28 - defective building	No. of notices served	
Section 29 - dangerous building emergency action	How many instances LA has taken action	
Section 30 - dangerous building	No. of notices served	
	No. of enforcement cases referred	
Procurator fiscal		
Procurator fiscal Local authority undertaking work (in default)	No. of cases where local authority have undertaken work	

£250,001 - £1,000,000

£1,000,001 and above

West Dunbartonshire Contact Details: Lesley Dewar, e-mail: Lesley.Dewar@west-dunbarton.gov.uk, tel. 01389738557

96.50

91.25

100.00%

100.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

75.00%

75.00%

25.00%

25.00%

	SUMMARY OF KPOs																			
		KPO1									KPO2		KPO3	KPO4 KPO5			KPO6 KPO7		P07	
CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	amendments issued (all)		reports issued within 15 days	in more than 15 days and	in more than	% of first reports issued in more than	% of BWs and amendments issued within	amendments issued in	% of BWs and amendments issued in more than 10 and within 15 days from receipt of all satisfactory information	% of BWs and amendments issued in more than 15 days from receipt of all satisfactory information	Number of CCNPs for	% of CCNPs fully achieved for "accepted' completion certificates	National customer charter is published prominently on the website with version control (reviewed at least quarterly)	Overall customer satisfaction rating out of 10	Verification fee income	Verification (staff) costs	% fee income against verification (staff) costs	Details of eBuilding Standards are published prominently on the verifier's website	Annual performance report published prominently on website with version control (reviewed at least quarterly)	requirements
DOMESTIC	76	83.11	87.93%	5.17%	5.17%	1.72%	76.27%	16.95%	3.39%	3.39%	63	57.14%	o							
NON-DOMESTIC	15	48.87	71.43%	21.43%	7.14%	0.00%	83.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	7	57.14%								
Total	91	77.46	84.72%	8.33%	5.56%	1.39%	77.46%	16.90%	2.82%	2.82%	70	57.14%	Published prominently (with review)	9.5	£35,230.00	£128,516.00	27.41%	Published prominently	Published prominently (with review)	Includes all performance data
													_	•	•				•	
0 - £10,000	51	56.22			4.55%	0.00%	75.76%	12.12%		6.06%	25	44.00%	Þ							
£10,001 - £50,000	24	111.17	90.91%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	78.26%	21.74%	0.00%	0.00%	22	68.18%	þ							
£50,001 - £250,000	8	95.38	69.23%	15.38%	7.69%	7.69%	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	7	71.43%	b							

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

TARGETS	1.1	1.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	5.1	6.1	6.2	7.1	7.2
		90% of building	National	95% of BSD		Building	Details of	,		Annual
	· \		customer charter	requests for	average	standards	eBuilding	•	•	performance
	building warrants		is published	information on a	satisfaction rating		Standards are	related processes		report to include
		issued within 10	prominently on	BSD 'Verifier Performance	of 7.5 out of 10	income to cover indicative	published	•	,	performance data in line with KPOs
	,	days from receipt of all satisfactory		Reporting Service		verification	prominenently on the verifier's	electronically (Plan checking;		and associated
		information – all	version control	for Customers'		service costs	website.	`	(reviewed at least	
	reports (including			case responded		(staff costs plus	Wobolio.		,	covering previous
	. ,	•	(reviewed at least	•		30%).		(and plans) issue;	. ,	year e.g. April
	amendments	(not including	quarterly).	within 5 days.		,		Verification		2016 – March
		BWs and						during		2017).
	first report).	amendments						construction; CC		
		issued without a						acceptance)		
		first report).								
Local Authority										
				No cases						
h	00.000/	0.4.070/	Published	referred to BSD	0.5	07.440/	Published	0.641	Published	Includes all
West Dunbartonshire	93.06%	94.37%	prominently	'Reporting	9.5	27.41%	prominently	3 of 4 done	prominently	performance
			(with review)	Service'					(with review)	data
			(with review)	Service'					(with review)	uala